United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

December 28, 2023
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

TRENECIA MOORE,	§ CIVIL ACTION NO
Plaintiff,	§ 4:23-cv-01686
	§
	§
vs.	§ Judge Charles Eskridge
	§
	§
SYNERPRISE	§
CONSULTING SVS	§
INC,	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Trenecia Moore, proceeding *pro se*, filed a complaint in Texas state court asserting violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. Dkt 1-3. Defendant Synerprise Consulting SVS Inc removed the case to federal court. Dkt. 1.

Pending is a Memorandum and Recommendation by Magistrate Judge Christina A. Bryan, recommending that this case be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution because Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint as ordered. Dkt 17. No objections were filed.

The district court reviews *de novo* those conclusions of a magistrate judge to which a party has specifically objected. See FRCP 72(b)(3) & 28 USC § 636(b)(1)(C); see also *United States v Wilson*, 864 F2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir 1989, *per curiam*). The district court may accept any other portions to which there's no objection if satisfied that no clear error appears on the face of the record. See *Guillory v PPG Industries Inc*, 434 F3d 303, 308 (5th Cir 2005), citing *Douglass v United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F3d

1415, 1430 (5th Cir 1996, en banc); see also FRCP 72(b) advisory committee note (1983).

No clear error appears upon review and consideration of the Memorandum and Recommendation, the record, and the applicable law.

The Memorandum and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the Memorandum and Order of this Court. Dkt 17.

This case is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

A final judgment will issue by separate order.

SO ORDERED.

Signed on December 27, 2023, at Houston, Texas.

Hon. Charles Eskridge
United States District Judge